

# Motion- Capital Coalition

## Planning Committee

14 May 2015

### Report Title: **Item 5.1 - Local Development Plan: Submission to Examination**

1. Committee notes that there is an urgent need to progress the LDP towards adoption to provide an up-to-date plan and housing land supply, and that this is the overriding reason for now moving the plan to the examination stage.
2. Committee notes that the outcomes of the examination are largely binding on the Council and so the examination will determine the content of the adopted LDP.
3. Committee further notes that the examination stage provides an opportunity to change the LDP. On that basis, the Committee agrees the recommendations at paragraph 1.1 of the report by the Acting Director of Services for Communities, but also agrees that there are a number of proposals within the LDP where changes could be made as a result of representations made to the Second Proposed Plan, and that the Committee sets out clearly, where such changes should be considered by the reporter during examination. Accordingly, the following updates should be incorporated into the Council's responses in Appendix 1:
  - a. In Issue [14], state that the Council sees merit in the representation promoting the land within the West Edinburgh Strategic Development Area known as [East of Millburn Tower] as a housing allocation, and note that it has a potential capacity of [1,320] units.
  - b. In Issue [12 and 13], state that the Council sees merit in the representations objecting to housing Proposals [HSG 31 Curriemuirend, HSG 36 Curriehill Road and HSG 37 Newmills Road]. Note that their removal from the Plan would account for [435 units] of the capacity provided by the allocation of [East of Millburn Tower].
  - c. In Issue (10 and 14) state that the Council sees merit in the representations seeking a reduction in Proposal HSG 29 and note that the Reporter's decision in relation to Edmonstone will add another 368 houses to the housing supply total which is not included in the Council's windfall assumptions. This additional supply could be used to reduce the housing total for Brunstane HSG 29 by the same amount.
  - d. Subject to point 4 below, in Issues [7, 10 and 11] state that the Council sees merit in the representations seeking a reduction in the capacities of housing Proposals [HSG 19 Maybury, HSG 32 Builyeon Road, and HSG 33 South Scotstoun]. Note that these currently have a total capacity of [ 3130 ] units and that a proportionate reduction in their housing capacity resulting in fewer units could be accounted for by the remaining capacity provided by the allocation of

[East of Millburn Tower].

4. Committee instructs the Acting Director of Services for Communities that if the current appeal for a planning application on the site HSG 20 Cammo is determined before the submission of Appendix 1 to LDP examination, the Council's responses should be updated as follows:
  - a. If the Cammo appeal is allowed and planning permission granted, to note this in Issue 7. Should this scenario arise, then the Council should direct the Reporter to the representations raised in relation to HSG 19 Maybury and state that they are of particular merit.
  - b. If the Cammo appeal is dismissed and permission refused, to note this in Issue 7. State that the Council sees some merit in these representations which object to HSG 20 Cammo, and that the reduction in numbers could be accounted for by the remaining capacity provided by the allocation of [East of Millburn Tower]. Note that the removal of the sites identified in 3(b) above could also be accommodated within this capacity; but that there would thus be reduced scope to accommodate a reduction in the sites as described in 3(d) above. Should this scenario arise, then the Council should direct the Reporter to the representations raised in relation to HSG 32 Builyeon Road, and HSG 33 South Scotstoun, and state that they are of particular merit.

**Moved by**

**Seconded by**